PRICEINED. 27/03/2023



Environmental Impact Assessment Report

PRESENTED TO

Milford Quarries Limited Proposed Quarry Development

			^
1	INTR	ODUCTION AND METHODOLOGY	1
1.1	Inti	roduction	1
1.1	Def	finition of EIA and EIAR	PRORING 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
1.2	EIA	A Legislation	203
1.3	EIA	A Guidelines	3
1.4	Sci	reening for EIA	4
1.5	Sco	ope of the EIAR	5
1.6	Pui	rpose and Objectives of the EIAR	6
1.7	Foi	rmat and Structure of this EIAR	7
1.8	Me	thodology Used to Produce this EIAR	9
1.9	EIA	AR Project Team	11
1.10	No	n-Technical Summary	13
1.11	Lin	ks between EIAR and Appropriate Assessment	13
1.12	Ava	ailability of EIAR Documents.	13
1.13	Sta	atement of Difficulties Encountered	13
1.14	Qu	otations	14
2	DESC	CRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	15
2.1	Inti	roduction and Terms of Reference	15
2.2	Site	e Location and Description	15
2.3 <i>2.</i>	Site 3.1	e History / Background Site Planning History	
2.4	Pro	oject Overview	15
2.5		atutory Planning Context	20
	5.1	National	20
	5.2 5.3	Regional Local	20 20
2.6		scription of Alternatives	20
	6.1	Introduction	20
	6.2	Do-Nothing Alternative	21
	6.3	Alternative Locations	21
2.	6.4	Alternative Uses	22



	5. <i>5</i>	Alternative Design and Layouts	22
2.6	5.6	Alternative Process	22
2.7	The	Alternative Design and Layouts Alternative Process Existence of the Project NING CONTEXT oduction Quality Assurance and Competence	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3	PLAN	NING CONTEXT	24
3.1	Intr	oduction	24
3.1	1.1	Quality Assurance and Competence	24
3.2	Nati	onal and Regional Planning Policy Context	25
3.2		National Planning Context	25
3.2	2.2	Regional Spatial and Economic Strategy (RSES)	27
3.3	Env	ironmental Policy	
3.3	3.1	EU Waste Framework Directive and European Community (Waste Directive) Regulation	วทร
	11		٥.5
3.3	3.2	A Waste Action Plan for a Circular Economy–Ireland's National Waste Policy 2020-202	25
3.3	3.3	Quarries and Ancillary Activities – Guidelines for Planning Authorities, 2004	29
3.3	3.4	Environmental Management Guidelines – Environmental Management in the Extractive	е
Inc	dustry	(Non-Scheduled Minerals), 2006	30
3.4	Red	ional and Local Planning Context	31
3.4	4.1	Southern Region Waste Management Plan Eastern Midlands Region (2015-2021)	31
3.4	4.2	Carlow County Development Plan 2022-2028	32
3.4	4.3	The EIA Directive	34
4	POPU	LATION AND HUMAN HEALTH	37
4.1		oduction	37
4.1	1.7	Quality Assurance and Competence	37
4.2		dy Methodology	37
	2.1	Information Sources	38
4.2	2.2	Study Area	41
4.3	The	Existing and Receiving Environment (Baseline Situation)	41
4.3	3.1	Population and Demographic Analysis	41
	3.2	Population and Age	42
	3.3	Economic Activity and Employment	43
	3.4	Tourism and Amenities	46
	3.5	Travel and Commuting	47
	3.6	Landscape and Visual	49
	3.7	Human Health	<i>4</i> 9
4.3	3.8	Social Health	50
4.4	Cha	racteristics of the Proposed Development	51
4.5		ential Impact of the Proposed Development	52
	5.1	Construction Phase	52
	5.2	Operational Phase	52
4.5	5.3	Potential Cumulative Impacts	55



4.5.	4 "Do Nothing" Impact	56
4.6	Avoidance, Remedial & Mitigation Measures	56
4.6	_	56
4.6.		50
4.6.	,	٠ <u>٠</u> 57
4.0.	3 Worst Case Scenario	5/
4.7	Residual Impacts	56 56 56 57 57 57
4.8	Monitoring	58
4.8.	1 Construction Phase	58
4.8.	2 Operational Phase	58
4.9	Interactions	58
4.9.	1 Hydrology	58
4.9.	2 Air Quality and Climate	58
4.9.	3 Noise and Vibration	58
4.9.	4 Landscape and Visual	59
	5 Material Assets – Traffic and Transport	59
4.10	Difficulties Encountered When Compiling	59
4.11	References	59
5 E	BIODIVERSITY	61
5.1	Introduction	61
5.1.	1 Background	61
5.1.	2 Quality Assurance and Competence	61
5.1.	·	62
5.2	Relevant Legislation	63
5.2.	1 National Legislation	63
5.2.		65
5.2.	_	Plan 66
5.3	Description of the Proposed Development	66
5.3.	1 Location	66
5.3.	2 Description of Proposed Development	
5.4	Methodology	70
5.4.	2 Terms and Definitions	70
5.4.	3 Desk Study	71
5.4.	4 Field surveys	73
5.4.	5 Methodology for Assessment of Effects	75
5.4.	6 Difficulties Encountered in Compiling Information	80
5.5	Results	80
5.5.	1 Description of Baseline Ecological Conditions	80
5.5.	2 Geology, Hydrology and Hydrogeology	80
5.5.	3 Desk Study Results	83
5.5.	•	95
5.5.	5 Designated sites, Habitat, and Species Evaluation	107



5.6	Like	ly and Significant Effects of the Proposed Development	<u>^</u> 111
5.6.	1	Construction Phase	111
5.6.	2	Operational Phase	115
5.6.	3	Cumulative	117
5.6.	4	"Do nothing" Impact	118
5.7	Avo	idance, Remedial and Mitigation Measures	111 111 115 117 118 118 118 118
5.7.		Construction Phase	P018
	2		132
5.7.		"Worst Case" Scenario	137
5.8	Res	idual Impacts	137
5.9	Mon	itoring	146
5.9.		Construction Phase	146
5.9.	2	Operational Phase	146
5.10	Inte	ractions	146
5.10		Land and Soil	147
5.10		Hydrology	147
5.10		Air Quality and Climate	147
5.10		Noise and Vibrations	147
5.10		Landscape and Visual Assessment	148
5.10		Material Assets	148
5.11	Refe	erences	148
6 L	AND,	SOILS AND GEOLOGY	152
6.1	Intro	oduction	152
6.1.	1	Background and Objectives	152
6.1.	2	Quality Assurance and Competence	152
6.1.	3	Relevant Legislation	153
6.1.	4	Relevant Guidance	153
6.2	Stud	dy Methodology	154
6.2.	1	Desk Study	154
6.2.	2	Baseline Monitoring and Site Investigations	154
6.2.	3	Impact Assessment Methodology	154
6.3	The	Existing and Receiving Environment (Baseline Situation)	157
6.3.	1	Site Description and Topography	157
6.3.	2	Land and Landuse	157
6.3.	3	Soils and Subsoils	158
6.3.		Bedrock Geology	160
6.3.		Geological Resource Importance	163
6.3.	6	Geological Heritage and Designated Sites	164
6.3.	7	Soil Contamination	165
6.3.	8	Receptor Sensitivity	166
6.4	Cha	racteristics of the Proposed Development	166
6.5	Pote	ential Impact of the Proposed Development	167



6.5	.1	Construction Phase	\wedge	167
6.5	.2	Operational Phase		168
6.5	.3	Potential Cumulative Impacts		169
6.5	.4	Restoration Phase		169
6.5	.5	"Do Nothing" Impact		169
6.6	Avo	oidance, Remedial & Mitigation Measures	PRORINGO. 22/03/	170
6.6	.1	Construction Phase	7	070
6.6	.2	Operational Phase		173
6.7	Res	sidual Impacts		172
6.7	.1	Construction Phase		172
6.7	.2	Operational Phase		172
6.8	Res	storation Phase		173
6.9	Мо	nitoring		173
6.9	.1	Construction Phase		173
6.9		Operational Phase		173
6.9	.3	Restoration Phase		173
6.10	Inte	eractions		173
6.11	Diff	iculties Encountered When Compiling		173
6.12	Ref	erences		173
7 H	HYDR	OLOGY & HYDROGEOLOGY		175
7.1	Intr	oduction		175
7.1	.1	Background and Objectives		175
7.1	.2	Quality Assurance and Competence		175
	.3	Relevant Legislation		176
7.1	.4	Relevant Guidance		177
7.2	Stu	dy Methodology		177
7.2		Desk Study		177
7.2		Baseline Monitoring and Site Investigations		178
7.2		Impact Assessment Methodology		178
7.2	.4	Impact Assessment Process		180
7.3		Existing and Receiving Environment (Baseline Situation)		181
7.3		Site Description and Topography		181
7.3		Water Balance		181
7.3		Surface Water - Hydrology		182
7.3		Groundwater – Hydrogeology		189
7.3		Designated Sites		195
7.3	.0	Receptor Sensitivity		197
7.4	Cha	aracteristics of the Proposed Development		198
7.5		ential Impact of the Proposed Development		199
7.5	.1	Construction Phase		199



7.5.	•	ational Phase	202
7.5.		ntial Cumulative Impacts	205
		oration Phase	206
7.5.	5 "Do	Nothing" Impact	202 205 206 206 206 206
7.6	Avoidanc	e, Remedial & Mitigation Measures	206
7.6.	1 Cons	struction Phase	206
7.6.	2 Oper	ational Phase	208
7.6.	3 "Wo	rst Case" Scenario	210
7.7	Residual	Impacts	210
7.7.	1 Cons	struction Phase	210
7.7.	2 Oper	ational Phase	211
7.8	Restorati	on Phase	212
7.9	Monitorin	g	213
7.9.		struction Phase	213
	-	ational Phase	213
7.9.	3 Rest	oration Phase	213
7.10	Interactio	ns	214
7.11	Difficultie	s Encountered When Compiling	214
7.12	Reference	es	214
8 A	IR QUALIT	Y AND CLIMATE	215
8.1	Introduct	ion	215
8.1.	1 Qual	ity Assurance	215
8.1.	2 Ambi	ent Air Quality Standards	215
8.1.	3 Clima	ate Agreements	217
8.2	Study Me	thodology	220
8.3	The Exist	ing and Receiving Environment (Baseline Situation)	221
8.3.	1 Air Q	uality	221
8.3.		oclimate	224
8.3.	3 Micro	oclimate	224
8.4	Characte	ristics of the Proposed Development	227
8.5	Potential	Impact of the Proposed Development	227
8.5.		ntial Impacts on Air Quality	227
8.5.		ntial Impacts on Climate	241
8.5.		ntial Cumulative Impacts	241
8.5.	4 "Do l	Nothing" Impact	242
8.6		e, Remedial, and Mitigation Measures	242
8.6.	-	uality	242
8.6.			244
8.6.	3 Wors	t Case Scenario	244



8.7	Residual Impacts	244
8.8	Monitoring	244 244 244 245 245
8.9	Interactions	244
8.9		244
8.9		245
8.9	•	245
8.10	Difficulties Encountered When Compiling	245
8.11	References	245
	VOICE & VIDDATION	0.47
9 1	NOISE & VIBRATION	247
9.1	Introduction	247
9.1	.1 Quality Assurance and Competence	247
9.2	Study Methodology	247
9.2	.1 Desk Study	248
9.3	The Existing and Receiving Environment (Baseline Environmen	nt) 248
9.3	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	248
9.3	.2 Recommended Noise Limits	250
9.3	.3 Noise	250
9.4	Characteristics of the Proposed Development	251
9.5	Potential Impact of the Proposed Development	252
9.5	.1 Noise Sensitive Locations	252
9.5	.2 Noise from Onsite Plant and Equipment	253
9.5	.3 Noise from Operational Traffic	255
9.5	.4 Potential Cumulative Impacts	256
9.5	.5 "Do Nothing" Impact	257
9.6	Avoidance, Remedial & Mitigation Measures	257
9.6	.1 "Worst Case" Scenario	257
9.7	Residual Impacts	257
9.8	Monitoring	257
9.9	Interactions	258
9.9	- /	258
9.9	.2 Traffic	258
9.9	.3 Biodiversity	258
9.10	Difficulties Encountered When Compiling	258
9.11	References	258
10 L	ANDSCAPE AND VISUAL ASSESSMENT	260



10.1	Intr	oduction	260
10.	1.1	Quality Assurance and Competence	260 260 261 261 261 262 262
10.2	Met	hodology	261
10.		Guidelines and other information used in the LVA	261
10.	2.2	Desktop Study	261
10.	2.3	Fieldwork	262
10.	2.4	Landscape and Visual Assessment Criteria	262
10.	2.5	Assessment of Effects	262
10.	2.6	Duration and Quality of Effects	270
10.	2.7	Significance Criteria	271
10.	2.8	Viewsheds	271
10.	2.9	Study Area	275
10.	2.10	Potential Visual Receptors	275
10.3		Existing and Receiving Environment (Baseline Situation)	277
10.		Site Context	277
	3.2	Designation and Zoning	278
	3.3	Landscape Capacity of the Site	279
10.		Landscape Sensitivity of the Site	282
10.		Topography and Soils	285
10.		Existing landscape	287
	3.7	Preserved / Protected Views, Scenic Routes	292
10.	3.8	Protected Structures/Sites and Monuments	296
10.4		aracteristics of the Proposed Development	298
10.		Project Description	298
10.	4.2	Restoration Plan	299
10.5		ential Impact of the Proposed Development	303
10.		Potential Landscape Impact	303
	5.2	Visual Impacts	304
		Potential Cumulative Impacts	318
10.	5.4	"Do Nothing" Impact	318
10.6		oidance, Remedial & Mitigation Measures	318
10.	6.1	"Worst Case" Scenario	319
10.7		sidual Impacts	319
10.		Construction Phase	320
10.	7.2	Operational Phase	320
10.8		nitoring	320
10.		Construction Phase	320
10.	8.2	Operational Phase	320
10.9		eractions	320
10.		Population and Human Health	320
		Biodiversity	320
10.	9.3	Archaeology and Cultural Heritage	321
10.10	Diff	iculties Encountered When Compiling	321



10.11	Conclusion	321
10.12	References	322
11 A	RCHAEOLOGY AND CULTURAL HERITAGE	321 322 323 323 323 323
11.1	Introduction	323
11.1	.1 Quality Assurance and Competence	323
11.1	.2 Project Description	323
11.2	Study Methodology	323
11.2	2.1 Guidance and Legislation	323
11.2	2.2 Desk Study	324
11.3	The Existing and Receiving Environment (Baseline Situation)	324
11.3	,	
11.3	, , ,	330
11.3	3.3 Cartographic Analysis	330
11.4	Characteristics of the Proposed Development	335
11.5	Potential Impact of the Proposed Development	336
11.5		336
	5.2 Operational Phase	336
	5.3 Potential Cumulative Impacts	336
11.5	5.4 "Do Nothing" Impact	336
11.6	,	336
11.6	6.1 "Worst Case" Scenario	336
11.7	Residual Impacts	337
11.8	Monitoring	337
11.9	Interactions	337
11.9	0.1 Land, Soil and Geology	337
11.10	Difficulties Encountered When Compiling	337
11.11	References	337
12 M	ATERIAL ASSETS; TRAFFIC, WASTE AND UTILITIES	339
12.1	Traffic	339
12.1		339
12.1		340
12.1	•	340
12.1	•	350
12.1	·	352
12.1	.6 Avoidance, Remedial & Mitigation Measures	357
12.1	•	358
12.1	•	358
12.1	.9 Interactions	358



12.1.1	0 Difficulties Encountered When Compiling	358
12.1.1	1 References	358 358 360 360 360 363
	Material Assets, Waste and Utilities	360
12.2.1	Introduction	360
12.2.2	Study Methodology	360
12.2.3	The Existing and Receiving Environment (Baseline Situation)	363
12.2.4		
12.2.5	·	366
12.2.6	, G	370
12.2.7	,	370
12.2.8	G	371
12.2.9		371
12.2.1	, 3	372
12.2.1	1 References	372
13 RIS	K MANAGEMENT	373
13.1 lı	ntroduction	373
13.1.1		373
. •	quality / loos and composition	
13.2 S	Study Methodology	373
13.2.1	Scope and Context	373
13.2.2	Guidelines and Reference Material	374
13.2.3	Risk Assessment Methodology	374
13.3 F	Predicted Impacts	375
13.4 N	lanagement Plans	382
13.4.1	-	382
13.4.2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	382
13.4.3	•	382
13.4.4		383
13.4.5	•	383
13.4.6	•	384
13.5 F	Residual Impacts	384
13.6 N	Monitoring	384
13.7	Difficulties Encountered When Compiling	384
13.8 F	References	384
14 INT	ERACTIONS	386
	ntroduction	386
14.1.1		386
14.1.2	Description of the Proposed Development	386
14.2	Study Methodology	387
14.3 li	nteractions	387



	ulation and Human Health	389		
	liversity (S)	391		
	d and Soil	389 391 393 394 395 396 397		
-	Hydrology and Hydrogeology Air Quality and Climate			
	Noise and Vibration			
	Landscape and Visual			
	naeology and Cultural Heritage	397 399		
Ма	erial Assets - Waste and Utilities	400		
Ма	erial Assets - Traffic	402		
14.4	References	402		
15 N	ITIGATION AND MONITORING MEASURES	403		
15.1	Introduction	403		
15.2	Summary of Mitigation Measures	403		
15.	- /	403		
	2.2 Biodiversity	405		
15. 15.	,	422 424		
15. 15.	•	428		
15.	·	430		
	2.7 Landscape and Visual	431		
15.	2.8 Archaeology and Cultural Heritage	433		
15.		433		
15.	2.10 Materials Assets - Traffic	433		
	OF TABLES 1-1: Structure of the EIAR	7		
	1-2: Methodology Employed to Produce each EIAR Chapter			
	3-1 Pertinent National Policy Objectives and National Strategic			
	n the context of the Proposed Development			
	3-2 Key strategic issues for Carlow as contained in the So	_		
•	al and Economic Strategy			
	3-3 Pertinent policies within the Carlow County Development			
	context of the Proposed Development			
	4-1: Definition of Quality of Effects			
	4-2: Definition of Significance of Effects			
	4-3: Definition of Duration of Effects			
Table	4-4 Population Statistics for County Carlow in 2016 and 2022	42		
	4-5: Population Categorisation by Age for Muinebeag, County			
	4-6: Economic Status of the Population Aged 15+ in 2016 (Sou	•		
	4-7: Number of people on the live register			
Table	4-8: Broad Industrial Group (with the top 5 groups shaded blue) 45		



Table 4-9: Time Leaving Home in Muinebeag	47
Table 4-9: Time Leaving Home in Muinebeag Table 4-10 Duration of Journey Table 4-11: Means of Travel in Muinebeag Table 4-12: Health Status of Muinebeag and County Carlow	48
Table 4-11: Means of Travel in Muinebeag	49
Table 4-12: Health Status of Muinebeag and County Carlow	50
Table 5-1 Field surveys undertaken at the Proposed Development site	75
Table 5-2 Description of Values for Ecological Resources Based on Geog	
Hierarchy of Importance (NRA,2009).	7, 0
Table 5-3 Definition of quality of effects	
Table 5-4 Definition of Significance of Effects	79
Table 5-5 Definition of Duration of Effects	79
Table 5-6 Designated sites of Conservation Importance Within the Precautiona	ry ZOI
of the site (15km)	
Table 5-7 Recorded red- and amber- list bird species within 15km of the site	93
Table 5-8 Habitats observed during field survey classified as per Fossitts	
Table 5-9 Summary of camera trail results	
Table 5-10 Bird Species Observed During Breeding Bird Scoping Survey	
Table 5-11 Evaluation of Designated sites, Habitats and Fauna Recorded With	
Surrounding Area	
Table 5-12 Applications for Planning Permission within a 5km radius of the site	
Table 5-13 Seasonal Restrictions on Vegetation Removal. Red Boxes Indicate P	
When Clearance / Works are Note Permissible	
Table 5-14 Summary of Potential Impacts of KER(s), Mitigation Measures/Miti	-
Factors and Residual Impacts	
Table 6-1: Estimation of Importance of Soil and Geology Criteria (NRA, 2008)	
Table 6-2: Additional Impact Characteristics	
Table 6-3: Impact Descriptors Related to the Receiving Environment	
Table 6-4: Summary Borehole Information	
Table 6-5: Geological Heritage Sites (www.gsi.ie)	
Table 7-1: Estimation of Importance of Hydrology Criteria (NRA, 2008)	
Table 7-2: Estimation of Importance of Hydrogeology Criteria (NRA, 2008)	
Table 7-3: Impact Assessment Process Steps	
Table 7-4: Monthly Rainfall Averages (mm) 1981 - 2010	
Table 7-5: Flows Estimated for the Madlin River at Ballynockan (www.catchme	-
Table 7-6: Latest EPA Water Quality Monitoring Q-Rating Values (2020)	
Table 7-7: Madlin River Surface Water Quality (15/11/2022)	
Table 7-8: Summary WFD Information for SWBs	
Table 7-9: Summary WFD Information for GWBs	
Table 7-10: Designated Sites	
Table 7-11: Summary of WFD Status Change in an Unmitigated Sc	
(Construction Phase)	202
Table 7-12: Summary of WFD Status Change in an Unmitigated Scenario (Opera	
Phase)	205



Table 7-13: Summary WFD Status with the implementation of Mitigation M	leasures
(Construction Phase)	211
Table 7-14: Summary WFD status with the implementation of Mitigation M	1easures
(Operational Phase)	212
Table 8-1: Limit Values of Cleaner Air for Europe (CAFE) Directive 200	8/50/EC
(Source: EPA, 2020)	216
Table 8-2: Dust Deposition Limit Values	221
Table 8-3: Concentrations of NO ₂ at Zone D Monitoring Stations	222
Table 8-4: Concentrations of PM ₁₀ at Zone D Monitoring Stations	223
Table 8-5: Monthly Rainfall Values (mm) for Oak Park Weather Station from	
2020 to December 2022 (Source: Met Eireann)	225
Table 8-6: Potential Impacts Associated with Dust Emissions	229
Table 8-7: Percentage Distribution of Wind Speeds and Direction at Oak Park	
Station (January 2004 - December 2021)	230
Table 8-8: Sensitive Receptors located within a 400m Radius of the Extraction	
Processing Area	232
Table 8-9: Summary of Prevailing Wind Directions at Dunsany Weather Station	on above
5m/s (7-10 knots)	234
Table 8-10: Categorisation of Frequency of Potentially Dusty Winds (Source	e: IAQM
2016)	
Table 8-11: Categorisation of Receptor Distance from Source (Source: IAQ	M 2016)
	235
Table 8-12:Categorisation of Sensitive Receptors	235
Table 8-13: Pathway Effectiveness (Source: IAQM 2016)	236
Table 8-14: Estimation of Dust Impact Risk (Source: IAQM 2016)	237
Table 8-15: Descriptors for magnitude of dust effects (Source: IAQM 2016)	237
Table 8-16: Summary of Disamenity Dust Assessment	237
Table 8-17: Indicative Criteria for Requiring an Air Quality Assessment (Source	e: IAQM,
2017)	240
Table 8-18: Potential Cumulative Impacts	242
Table 9-1: Quiet Area Screening of the Development Location	249
Table 9-2: Sensitive Receptors	
Table 9-3: Equipment associated with proposed demolition activities	254
Table 9-4: Plant associated with proposed activities and corresponding nois	e values
	254
Table 9-5: Potential Cumulative Impacts	256
Table 10-1: Landscape Sensitivity Criteria	263
Table 10-2: Landscape Susceptibility Criteria	264
Table 10-3: Landscape Sensitivity Criteria	264
Table 10-4: Magnitude of Landscape Change Criteria	266
Table 10-5: Value of the View	
Table 10-6: Visual Susceptibility	
Table 10-7 Visual Sensitivity	268
Table 10-8 Magnitude of Visual Change (Visual effects)	269



Table 10-9 Definition of the duration of landscape and visual effects	270
Table 10-10 Definition of Quality of Effects	270
Table 10-11 Categories of Significance of Landscape and Visual Effects	271
Table 10-12 Viewpoint Distance	275
Table 10-13: Land Use Capacity Matrix. Source: Carlow County Council Develor	ment
Map 2022-2028	284
Table 10-15 Visual Impact Assessment Results	347
Table 12-1: Competency of Chapter Authors	339
Table 12-2: ATC Survey Results Summary (Approach Flows)	349
Table 12-3: 24-hour, WADT and AADT factors – South-East (Carlow County)	349
Table 12-4 – AADT Traffic Data	349
Table 12-5 - Annual traffic growth factors – Carlow	353
Table 12-6: Proposed Development Traffic	354
Table 12-7 – Do-Something Scenario Forecast Traffic Volumes on L3036	356
Table 12-8 – Do-Nothing Scenario Forecast Traffic Volumes on L3036	356
Table 12-9: Terminology used to assess the quality potential impacts & effects	361
Table 12-10: Terminology used to assess the significance of potential impa	cts &
effects	361
Table 12-11: Terminology used to assess the duration of potential impacts/e	ffects
	362
Table 12-12: Definition of the Extent and Context of Effects	362
Table 12-13: Definition of the Probability of Effects	362
Table 12-14 Historical Land Use	364
Table 13-1 Consolidated List of National Hazards (Source: A National	Risk
Assessment for Ireland (2020) Department of Defence)	374
Table 13-2: Classification of National Likelihood Criteria (Source: A National	
Assessment for Ireland (2020) Department of Defence)	375
Table 13-3: Major Accidents and/or Disasters Reviewed	377
Table 14-1: Interactions between Factors	
Table 14-2 Population and Human Health	
Table 14-3: Biodiversity	
Table 14-4: Land and Soils	
Table 14-5: Hydrology and Hydrogeology	
Table 14-6: Air Quality and Climate	
Table 14-7: Noise and Vibration	
Table 14-8: Landscape and Visual	
Table 14-9: Archaeology and Cultural Heritage	
Table 14-10: Material Assets - Waste and Utilities	
Table 14-10: Material Assets - Traffic	
Table 15-1 Seasonal Restrictions on Vegetation Removal. Red Boxes Indicate Pe	
When Clearance / Works are Note Permissible	408

LIST OF FIGURES



Figure 1-1: EIA Process	2
Figure 2-1 PP09 Indicative Extraction Phasing Plan	18
Figure 2-2 Proposed Site Layout P1591-0_PP04	19
Figure 3-1 Map I Southern Region I RSES Strategy Statement	28
Figure 3-2 Waste Hierarchy	<u> </u>
Figure 4-1: Health impact of the built environment	39
Figure 4-2 Map of Study Area (Source CSO 2016 Census)	41
Figure 4-3 Carlow Tourism Map of Bagenalstown	47
Figure 4-4: Social Determinants of Health (Healthy Ireland, DOH 2013)	51
Figure 5-1 Site Location	67
Figure 5-2 Extracted from drawing P1591-0-1222-A3-PP04-00A prepared by	Hydro
Environmental Services (2022). Proposed soil storage location detailed in inset	69
Figure 5-3 Environmental features map of the site, red line boundary for the pur	poses
of this application highlighted in red	83
Figure 5-4 International sites within 15km of the Proposed Development	84
Figure 5-5 Natural Heritage Areas (NHAs) and Proposed Natural Heritage	Areas
(pNHAs) within 15km of the Proposed Development	85
Figure 5-6 Habitat map of site (limitations to access highlighted in red)	98
Figure 5-7 Clockwise from top left: WL1, WL1 with taller tree species; and WL2	99
Figure 5-8 GS2 Dry Meadows and grassy verges	100
Figure 5-9 GA1 Improved agricultural grassland	100
Figure 5-10 Example of dense conifer vegetation	101
Figure 5-11 BL3 - Buildings and artificial surfaces & their locations	102
Figure 5-12 Image of Red fox Vulpes vulpes captured at 07:53am on the 29/06	3/2022
on the trail camera installed at the site.	103
Figure 5-13 Image of Badger meles captured at 21:56pm on the 04/07/2022	on the
trail camera installed at the site	104
Figure 5-14 Drawing of Proposed Development site layout (Hydro environn	nental
services, 2022	113
Figure 5-15 An example of an artificial sett under construction with pipe tunnels	
chambers (Extracted from NRA (now TII), 2005b)	
Figure 5-16 Restoration Plan (Hydro Environmental Services, 2022)	
Figure 6-1: Local Subsoils Map (www.gsi.ie)	
Figure 6-2: Map of Site Investigation Points	
Figure 6-3: Bedrock Geology Map (www.gsi.ie)	
Figure 6-4: Schematic Geological Cross-Section based on SI data	
Figure 6-5: Geological Heritage Areas, Active Quarries and Mineral Loc	
(www.gsi.ie)	
Figure 7-1: Regional Hydrology Map	
Figure 7-2: Local Hydrology Map	
Figure 7-3: OPW Past Flood Events (www.floodinfo.ie)	
Figure 7-4: Local Bedrock Aquifer Map	
Figure 7-5: Groundwater Monitoring Wells	
Figure 7-6: Manually Dipped Groundwater Levels	193



Figure 7-7: Continuous Groundwater Levels (BH3 and BH5)	193
Figure 7-8: Designated Sites	197
Figure 8-1: Ireland's Greenhouse Gas Emissions by Sector for 2021 (Sourc 2023)	e: EPA, 220
Figure 8-2: Wind Speed Frequency Distribution at Oak Park Synoptic Weather	_
over 17 years (2004-2021)	226
Figure 8-3: 17-year Windrose at Oak Park Synoptic Weather Station 200	J4-2021
(Developed using Met Eireann Hourly Data)	227
Figure 8-4: Location of Sensitive Receptors (SRs) in relation to the Proposition of Sensitive Receptors (SRs) in relation to the Proposition of Sensitive Receptors (SRs) in relation to the Proposition of Sensitive Receptors (SRs) in relation to the Proposition of Sensitive Receptors (SRs) in relation to the Proposition of Sensitive Receptors (SRs) in relation to the Proposition of Sensitive Receptors (SRs) in relation to the Proposition of Sensitive Receptors (SRs) in relation to the Proposition of Sensitive Receptors (SRs) in relation to the Proposition of Sensitive Receptors (SRs) in relation to the Proposition of Sensitive Receptors (SRs) in relation to the Proposition of Sensitive Receptors (SRs) in relation to the Proposition of Sensitive Receptors (SRs) in relation to the Proposition of Sensitive Receptors (SRs) in relation to the Proposition of Sensitive Receptors (SRs) in relation (SRs) (SRs	-
Development Site	
Figure 9-1: Scale and Indicative Noise Levels on the dB(A) Scale (Based on g taken from: Design Manual for Roads and Bridges, Volume 11 Consolidated	
1993)	251
Figure 9-2: Location of Noise Sensitive Locations in relation to Proposed Deve	-
Figure 10-1: Zone of Theoretical Visibility	
Figure 10-2: Zone of Theoretical Visibility	
Figure 10-3: Zone of Theoretical Visibility	
Figure 10-4: Aerial View with the identified sites that contribute to the wider lar	
character in the Study Area	
Figure 10-5: Principal Landscape Character Areas. Source: Carlow County	
Development Map 2022-2028. Proposed Development site marked with a sta	
Figure 10-6: Principal Landscape Types. Source: Carlow County	
Development Map 2022-2028. Proposed Development site marked with a sta	
Figure 10-7: Carlow Landscape Sensitivity Map. Source: Carlow County	
Development Map 2022-2028. Proposed Development site marked with a sta	
Figure 10-8: Topography on the Broader Landscape. Source: Openstreetmap	
Figure 10-9: Existing topography of the site of the Proposed Development. Proposed Development Proposed Developmen	
Development site outlined in red	•
Figure 10-10: Aerial View with the limits of the Proposed Development in red.	
Google Earth	
Figure 10-11: Habitat Map of the Proposed Development. Source: Biod	diversity
Chapter	289
Figure 10-12:Clockwise from top left: WL1, WL1 with taller tree species; a	
Figure 10-13: GS2 Dry Meadows and grassy verges	
Figure 10-14: GA1 Improved agricultural grassland	
Figure 10-15: Example of dense conifer vegetation	
Figure 10-16: View and Prospects Map. Source: Carlow County Council Devel	
Map 2022-2028. Proposed Development Site marked with a star	-
Figure 10-17: Scenic Routes Map. Source: Carlow County Council Development	
2022-2028. Proposed Development Site marked with a star	-
Figure 10-18: Protected Structures, National Monuments Service	
go	



Figure 10-19: Recorded Monuments and Places. Proposed Development site r	narked
with a star	298
Figure 10-20: Proposed Site Layout. Source: Hydro Environmental Services	300
Figure 10-21: Cross Sections. Source: Hydro Environmental Services	301
Figure 10-22: Proposed Restoration Plan. Source: Hydro Environmental Servi	es302
Figure 10-23: Indicative Extraction Phasing. Source: Hydro Environmental Se	ervices
	304
Figure 10-24: Proposed viewpoint's location. Image source Google Earth	305
Figure 10-25 Viewpoint 01, Bannagagole, Existing View	307
Figure 10-26 Viewpoint 01, Bannagagole, Proposed View	
Figure 10-27 Viewpoint 02, L3036 (Bannagagole), Existing View	
Figure 10-28 Viewpoint 02, L3036 (Bannagagole), Proposed View	
Figure 10-29 Viewpoint 03, L3036 (Bannagagole), Existing View	
Figure 10-30 Viewpoint 03, L3036 (Bannagagole), Proposed View	
Figure 10-31 Viewpoint 04, M9 (Closutton), Existing View	
Figure 10-32 Viewpoint 04, M9 (Closutton), Proposed View	
Figure 10-33 Viewpoint 05, R448, Existing View	
Figure 10-34 Viewpoint 05, R448, Proposed View	
Figure 10-35 Scheme with the existing hedgerows to be retained	
Figure 11-1: Historic 6-Inch OS map with redline boundary	
Figure 11-2: Cassini 6-Inch with redline boundary.	
Figure 12-1: Proposed Development Site Location	
Figure 12-2: Existing Site Access Arrangements	
Figure 12-3: Existing Site Access Gate (facing north)	
Figure 12-4: L3036 (Facing north)	
Figure 12-5 L7117 (Facing east)	
Figure 12-6: L3036/ L3036 3-arm Stop-controlled Junction (major arm to right	
arm to left)	
Figure 12-7: R448/ L3036 3-arm Stop-controlled Junction (Facing south)	
Figure 12-8: R448 (facing north)	
Figure 12-9: Proposed Site Access Arrangements	351
Figure 12-10: Proposed Site Layout	352
Figure 12-11: - Haulage Routes and Trip Distribution	
Figure 12-12 Chart showing typical classifications of the significance of impacts	=
2022, Guidelines on the Information to be Contained in Environmental	•
Assessment Reports)	
Figure 13-1 Map of surrounding Seveso Sites and Major Emergency Manag	
Sites	
Figure 15-1 An example of an artificial sett under construction with pipe tunnels	
chambers (Extracted from NRA (now TII), 2005b)	
Figure 15-2 Scheme with the existing hedgerows to be retained	432



LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A Figures and Drawings

Appendix B Planning Report

Appendix C Geological logs for the trial pits

Appendix D Geophysical Report

Appendix E Calculation of ungauged catchments EPA report

Appendix F Flood Risk Assessment

Appendix G WFD Compliance Report

Appendix H Full Traffic Survey

Appendix I Assessment of Visibility

